UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/549,505	04/14/2000	Brian Mark Shuster	70111.00015	8771	
	7590 03/18/200 SOVE LODGE & HUT		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			CAMPBELL, JOSHUA D		
WILMINGTOR	N, DE 19899		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2178		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/549,505	SHUSTER ET AI				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOSHUA D. CAMPBELL	2178				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>JOSHUA D. CAMPBELL</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Jonathan Jaech</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>12 March 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>50-54, 56-63, 65-69, 72, and 73</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Weinberg and Bloombe	erg.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	J/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed how the rejections apply to the current claims. Examiner explained the interpretations of specific terms in the claims and in the prior art in order to clarify certain positions taken in the previous office action. Discussed possible amendments and some of the considerations that would be made if such amendments were formally made. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Joshua D Campbell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 21 Examiner's signature, if requi					